AGRIBLOSSOM
ISSN-2582-8258
A monthly peer reviewed e-magazine for Agriculture & allied Sciences

Crop Modeling in Agriculture

Jay Krishna Solanki[1], Pinky Yadav[2], Gaurav Prakash[3]

M.Sc. Research Scholar (Agronomy)[1]
M.Sc. Research Scholar (Soil Science)[2,3]
Agriculture University, Kota (Rajasthan) 324001

"The crop modeling approach remains the best method for surveying the impacts of future worldwide environmental change, hence helping in the definition of national strategies for moderation purposes"

Introduction

Higher the populace means higher the food request which has been an overpowered worry in the field of agriculture and allied sectors. The creation of food is as of now being tested by characteristic dangers and their intently family members. Proficient crop production innovation depends on the correct choice at an opportune time in a correct manner. Customarily cropping system works that are utilized in farming dynamics were gotten from traditionally experienced base agronomic practices, in which crop yield was identified with some characterized variable dependent on relationship analysis. Crop yield was communicated as mathematical functions of the scientific capacity of the characterized factors, with regression coefficient. The quantitative data acquired from this kind of experiment is very site explicit. The data got must be dependably applied to other sites where weather, soil parameters, and yield are like those utilized in building up the first function. Accordingly, the quantitative utilization of the crop-based model for decision-making is seriously restricted. This paper audits some crop simulation models which are utilized by the researchers and analysts over the timeframe.

Cropping Systems Simulation Model

Cropping Systems Simulation Model is a multi-year, multi-crop, everyday time step crop growth model, created with an accentuation on an inviting UI, and with a connection to GIS programming and a climate generator (Stockle, 1996). The model's goal is to fill in as a logical apparatus to examine the impact of cropping management on crop efficiency and the earth. For this reason, the Crop System reproduces the soil water budget, soil-plant nitrogen budget, crop phenology, crop canopy and root growth, residue production, biomass production, crop yield and decomposition, soil erosion by water, and pesticide. These are influenced by weather, soil attributes, crop qualities, and crop management including crop rotation, cultivar choice, irrigation, nitrogen application, pesticide applications, soil and irrigation water salinity, tillage operation, and residual management. A propelled easily to use interface permits, clients, to effortlessly control input records, confirm input parameters for extending mistakes and cross similarity, develop simulations, execute single and clump run simulations, tweak yields, produce text and graphical reports, connect to spreadsheet programs, and even select a favored language for the interface text.

The model is expected for crop growth simulation over a unit field region (m^2). Development is depicted in the degree of the entire plant and organs. Reconciliation is performed with day-by-day time steps utilizing Euler's technique. The nitrogen and water sub-models in the Crop System and an overall depiction of growth simulation have been introduced somewhere else (Stockle *et al*., 1994).

The water budget in the model incorporates rainfall, irrigation, surface runoff, water infiltration, water redistribution in the soil profile, and evapotranspiration. Crop Evapotranspiration is resolved from a crop coefficient at full canopy and ground inclusion controlled by the canopy leaf area index.

The nitrogen budget in the Crop System incorporates N changes, ammonium sorption, crop nitrogen demand, nitrogen fixation, and uptake of nitrogen. Nitrogen changes of net mineralization, nitrification, and denitrification are simulated. The water and nitrogen budget interface create a simulation of nitrogen passage inside the soil.

Crop development is simulated dependent on the thermal time required to arrive at explicit development stages. The collection of thermal time might be quickened by water stress. Thermal time might be additionally regulated by photoperiod and vernalization. Day by day crop development is communicated as biomass increment per unit ground area. The model records four restricting variables to trim development: water, light, nitrogen uptake, and temperature.

Model Inputs

Four input data files are required for the Crop System: Weather file, Soil file, Crop file, and Management files. Separate records take into consideration a simpler connection of Crop System simulation with GIS software. The weather file includes latitude, parameters of rainfall intensity for erosion forecasting, freezing climate parameters for locations where soil might freeze, and local parameters to generate daily sunshine hours and vapor pressure deficit (Patra *et al.*, 2017).

The Soil file incorporates surface soil Cation Exchange Capacity and pH, parameters for runoff measurement, soil texture for erosion calculation, and other parameters differentiated by soil layer which are Layer thickness, Field Capacity, Permanent Wilting Point, Bulk Density, and Bypass Coefficient. The Management file includes two types of events one is automatic and another is scheduled. Programmed events including irrigation and nitrogen supplements are commonly determined to give perfect conditions for optimum growth, in spite of the fact that irrigation can be likewise set for the shortage of irrigation. The management events can be planned to utilize genuine date, relative date (comparative with a year of planting), or utilizing synchronization with phenological events (e.g., number of days in the wake of flowering). Scheduled events incorporate irrigation application date and amount, nitrogen application includes the date of application, amount, source-natural or inorganic and application mode, tillage, and residue management. The Crop file has sections including Phenology (thermal time prerequisites to arrive at specific growth stages, photoperiod, and vernalization if required), Morphology (root depth, maximum leaf area index, specific leaf area, and other parameters describing characteristics of root and canopy), Growth (vapor pressure deficit controlled transpiration, light-use efficiency, stress response parameters, etc.), Residue (decomposition of crop residues), Nitrogen Parameters (nitrogen demand and crop uptake) and Harvest Index.

Validation of the Crop system model

Validation is performed by equating field observed data with simulated results and it is a critical measure in model assessment (Power, 1993; Addiscott *et al.*, 1995). ETa, biomass, grain yield, etc. are considered as the verification parameters for the Crop System model. Obtained crop parameters from the calibration process are further can be used in the validation.

DSSAT

The Decision Support System for Agro-technology Transfer (DSSAT) is software that involves crop simulation models for more than 40 crops just as devices to encourage compelling utilization of the models. The devices incorporate a database that executes programs for soil, climate, crop management, and experimental data and utilities. The simulation models recreate development, growth, and yield as a component of the soil plant-climate dynamics. DSSAT furthermore, its simulation models have been utilized for a wide scope of uses at various scales ranging from geographical to different time series.

This remembers for on-field management, assessment of climate change and variability, water use, greenhouse gases, and soil carbon and nitrogen balance (Hoogenboom *et al*., 2010).

Model Inputs

The crop model requires day-by-day weather data, soil surface and profile data, and point-by-point crop management. Genetic data is characterized in a crop file that is given by DSSAT and cultivar or variety data that ought to be given by the user. The simulation process is started either at planting or before planting through the simulation of a fallow period. These simulations are led at an everyday step or now and again, at an hourly time step contingent upon the procedure and the crop model. Toward the finish of every day, the plant and soil water, nitrogen, phosphorus, and carbon balance are refreshed, just as in the crop's vegetative and reproductive improvement stage. DSSAT combines crop, soil, and climate information bases with crop models and application projects to mimic multiyear results of crop management techniques. The model incorporates the impacts of soil, crop phenotype, climate, and management strategies (Hoogenboom *et al*., 2019).

Calibration and Validation

The calibration of the model used climate information for the baseline period of nth years, crop information of the crop from past seasons, and soil data on significant parameters. So as to evaluate the calibrated model, very much characterized criteria and data are required. Accordingly, the exhibition of the model is to be approved by utilizing independent crop data from years that are not utilized for calibration. A definitive trial of a simulation model is the accuracy which is the end result after the comparisons between simulated data and observed data (Willmott et al. 1985). Various measurable techniques for analysis of the model are accessible. These are RMSE or percent of normalized root mean square error (RMSEn), index of agreement (d) (Willmott et al., 1985), and coefficient of determination (R2) which is utilized for assessing the integrity of fit between the observed and simulated values. Low estimations of RMSE and RMSEn, just as d-values and R2 near unity are wanted to characterize a good match (Abera, 2019).

Conclusion

The best utilization of crop models so far has been largely only for experimentation, but it is a need of the hour to implement the modeling outputs to the farmers from every corner of the world to support them to rectify any errors they will make in future or implementation of some useful techniques to get higher yield in near future. Crop models have a wide range of applications ranging from a research tool for researchers and scientists to becoming an application to recognize holes in our insight. Models based on physiological data are fit for supporting extrapolation to cropping phenology. Over a moderately brief timeframe range and at nearly low costs, the modeler can research an enormous number of the board procedures that would not be conceivable utilizing conventional techniques. Regardless of certain constraints, the modeling approach remains the best method for surveying the impacts of future worldwide environmental change, hence helping in the definition of national strategies for moderation purposes. Other strategy issues, similar to yield forecast, agricultural operation management, and issues in nature are all can be well acknowledged by the crop models.